

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 496 OF 2009

SMT. AMOL KRISHNA MORAJKAR AND 10
ORS., ... Petitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF
PANCHAYATI RAJ THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND 3 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. Amrut Kansar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. C. A. Ferreira, Assistant Solicitor General for the Respondent
No.1.
Mr. S.S. Kantak, Advocate General with Mr. P. Talaulikar,
Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Coram:- NARESH H. PATIL &
R. M. SAVANT, JJ.

Date:- 8th March, 2010

P.C.

The learned Counsel for the Petitioners has referred to the provisions of Article 243G of the Constitution of India and provisions of Section 199 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994.

2. The learned Advocate General submits that second finance commission report is ready and the State would constitute a committee of ministers which would submit report to the State and thereafter in accordance with Section 199, the said report could be tabled before the State Legislature.

3. The learned Advocate General submits that the State expects second finance commission report to be laid before the State Legislature in the Session after the budget Session of 2010.

4. The learned Advocate General further submits that the State would deliberate in respect of the powers of the Director as envisaged in Section 199 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 subject to the

constitutional mandate.

5. In the light of the statement made by the learned Advocate General, the Petition stands disposed of.

NARESH H. PATIL, J.

R. M. SAVANT, J.

at*